Ocean Scale Interactions - Brest, June 2014

SUBMESOSCALE FRONTAL TURBULENCE: RECENT PROGRESS AND SOME PERSPECTIVES

X. Capet (LOCEAN)

Acknowledgements: P. Klein, G. Roullet, J. McWilliams, P. Marchesiello, J. Jouanno, J. Molemaker, F. Colas, M. Lévy, G. Madec, G. Maze, L. Hua, A. Ponte, S. Smith, T. Penduff

What happens when resolution is enhanced in ocean models ?



not a refinement of the existing turbulent structures



new structures: multiplication / complexification of fronts

What happens when resolution is enhanced in ocean models ?



Introduction

not a refinement of the existing turbulent structures



new structures: fronts influenced by **rotation** but **large deviations from QG** (local Ro,Ri ~ I, large w)

more energy at SMS than predicted by QG (ample evidence from KE spectra)

accurate representation of ocean turbulence



SMS FRONTS & MIXED LAYER DYNAMICS

restratification

SMS FRONTS & SURFACE-SUBSURFACE EXCHANGES

subduction, upwelling

ENERGY DISSIPATION OF THE BALANCED CIRCULATION

forward cascade





Gravitational, Symmetric, and Baroclinic Instability of the Ocean Mixed Layer THOMAS W. N. HAINE* AND JOHN MARSHALL JPO, 1998



mixed layer T,S observations reveal major densitycompensations between the two tracers spatial fluctuations over a wide range of scales \Rightarrow there must be very effective processes at work against density fronts in the ML

Small-scale baroclinic instability affects the evolution and dynamics of the mixed layer in frontal regions

HM consider a forced case and pose the problem in terms of lateral flux v'b' in a baroclinic zone with heterogeneous buoyancy loss.

Mixed layer processes

Depth (m) -400

-600

-800

-1000

0

5

10

15

Across channel distance (km)

20



0.5

-0.5

30

25

- layer baroclinic instability with advection by an eddyinduced streamfunction (restratification)
- O Ri and Ro are the key parameters

Simplifications and limitations to FK08:

- O Exchanges with the ocean interior are ignored (the setup has a a sharp transition between a mixed layer and a strongly stratified interior)
- O Diapycnal mixing is not accounted for
- O It does not include forcing or dissipation ? In some conditions where atmospheric forcings are present exchanges with the ocean interior is an intrinsic part of the solution



Frontogenesis, subduction/upwelling

Pollard & Regier, JPO 1992 ...



observational evidence that straining by the mesoscale eddy field is an important agent for vertical movements (also Rudnick et al, 1996; Naveira-Garabato et al, 2001 ...)

Model of frontogenesis: Subduction and upwelling



110 120 130

Along front (km)

140

400 420 90 100

0 360 380 Across front (km) numerical demonstrations that the straining field associated with a baroclinically unstable flow can lead to substantial frontal subduction and upwelling with important implications for BGC tracers

density \neq C^{te} is equivalent to adding a thin PV sheet at the boundary (Bretherton, 1966).

G. LAPEYRE

P. KLEIN

IPO 2006



SQG leads to surface intensification (KE spectra) and energy fluxes ~ compatible with what we know of the submesoscale turbulence regime. Particularly true when it is coupled to an interior QG (also true in the atmosphere - Tulloch and Smith, 2008).



Evidence of oceanic relevance



Potential use of microwave Sea Surface Temperatures for the

GRL 2006

good agreement between QG velocities derived from SLA and SQG velocities derived from SST in the north Atlantic





qualitative agreement for horizontal velocities using 2 data sets. Less clear for vertical velocities derived from the omega-equation (for only one data set).



-46 -45 -44 -43

-47

What Vertical Mode Does the Altimeter Reflect? On the Decomposition in Baroclinic Modes and on a Surface-Trapped Mode **JPO 2009**

GUILLAUME LAPEYRE

surface velocities in many ocean sectors in the north atlantic "project" mainly on the SQG mode (as opposed to the first baroclinic mode)

Review

Quantitative skills require that:

- O interior PV has no important structure at fine scale (or that these structures are entirely correlated to the surface field)
- O stratification is not too complex (but ML impact can be partly included, Ponte et al, 2013)
- O ageostrophic processes are unimportant (although some may be included, Badin et al 2012 semigeostrophy).

Frontogenetic conditions can be strongly affected by frictional and diabatic forcings. Intense subduction events take place in frontal conditions where mesoscale strain AND PV destruction actively contribute.



Review





SMS impact on light-limitation

Eddy-Driven Stratification Initiates North Atlantic Spring Science **Phytoplankton Blooms** 2012 Amala Mahadevan,¹ Eric D'Asaro,²* Craig Lee,² Mary Jane Perry³

GRL 2011



Ocean fronts trigger high latitude phytoplankton blooms



Mooring and long-term profiler observations in the subtropical Pacific + model Limited indications of nitrate upwelling related to submesoscale frontal events

Physical and biological controls of nitrate concentrations in the upper subtropical North Pacific Ocean

François Ascani ^{a,b,*}, Kelvin J. Richards ^{a,b}, Eric Firing ^a, Scott Grant ^a, Kenneth S. Johnson ^c, Yanli Jia ^b, Roger Lukas ^a, David M. Karl ^{a,d} DSR 2013



This work calls for caution in applying to the North Pacific subtropical ocean the results of idealized numerical studies concerning the effect of frontal submesoscale processes on the

depth of the nutricline. The key factor is the position of the nutricline with respect to density fronts. To our knowledge, in all numerical studies that show the importance of submesoscale processes, the nutricline initially *crosses* the density front (Lévy, 2008; Lapeyre and Klein, 2006; Lévy et al., 2001; Nurser and Zhang, 2000; Spall and Richards, 2000; Thomas et al., 2008). This is a valid configuration for the strong and deep density fronts observed along the Kuroshio Extension and the Gulf Stream, but it is not applicable to the fronts near Station ALOHA. There, the





 O Reentrant zonal channel with a baroclinically unstable flows (maintained through restoring of zonally averaged u and ρ)
O Identical lateral buoyancy gradients



Turbulence properties (1)



Submesoscale activity much more energetic in SI (difference in APE distribution and release) Submesoscale activity much more energetic in SI (difference in APE distribution and release)



Turbulence properties (3)

Vertical exchanges

Submesoscale activity much more energetic in SI (difference in APE distribution and release)





The role of Charney BCI

SI has not just more APE than S2. Their density distribution is such that the change of isopycnal slope with depth are of opposite signs in the two simulations, near the surface.



Coupling with equivalent PV associated with the surface density gradient in SI leads to another instability mode (Charney).

$$\partial_y Q = \beta - f \partial_z s_b + \frac{f^2}{N^2} \partial_z U \,\delta_0$$

The role of Charney BCI

SI has not just more APE than S2. Their density distribution is also such that the change of isopycnal slope with depth are of opposite signs in the two simulations.



Coupling with equivalent PV associated with the surface density gradient in SI leads to another instability mode (Charney).

$$\partial_y Q = \beta - f \partial_z s_b + \frac{f^2}{N^2} \partial_z U \,\delta_0 + \frac{f^2}{N^2} \partial_z U \,\delta$$

The role of Charney BCI in the real ocean

Vertical exchanges



SI-like configuration can be found in the ocean but very rare

Frontogenesis, subduction/upwelling



90 100 110 120 130 Along front (km)

Nitrate (mmoleN/m³)

0.1 0.8 1.5 2.2 2.9 3.5 4.2 4.9 5.6 6.3 7.0

360 380 400 oss front (km)

Figure 14. Vertical sections of temperature and nitrate at day 22, across an antic and a cyclone (right figures) in the mesoscale M and the sub-mesoscale S exp







Many BCI modes are possible. What situations are we in ? Vertical exchanges at fronts need to be reconsidered with closer attention to realism and parameter sweep: the details of the subsurface thermohaline structure are critical for exchanges.

Atmospheric forcings are also critical

complex situation where numerical convergence is achieved for some quantities (eke) but not other (w, w'b')

Changes in <w'b'> between 8km and 1km are very large in S1, not so in S2 Major differences in <w'b'> related to how tracer mixing is being done (isopotential versus isopycnal).



FK: lid below the ML which can be considered in isolation $\langle w'b' \rangle \propto h_{bl} \times |\nabla b|^2$

A 70 m mixed layer in SI and S4 leads to buoyancy flux increase but x 2 stronger in SI $\,$





with low stratification values at/below the mixed layer base (here N ~ $3-5 \ 10^{-3} \ s^{-1}$) both the interior and the mixed layer seem to combine their efforts to restratify the mixed layer

In the vicinity of fronts, Ri and Ro ~ 1 suggest that loss of balance will occur. Some of the energy in the submesoscale range may thus escape the classical inverse cascade (leakage toward dissipation) through nonlinear transfers

Surface intensified flows tend to show a genuine forward energy cascade but

- ~ 30% of the dissipated energy in an Eady flow
- < 10% of the wind input to the geostrophic circulation in an upwelling region
- \sim 5% of the energy input into SI



we are collectively much better equipped for SMS ventures with new theoretical developments and a rich toolbox of routine diagnostics (spectral energy budgets, scale decompositions, diagnostics of frontogenetic tendencies, ftle, fsle ...)

The question of surface-surface exchanges remains delicate. SMS frontal processes contribute but primarily in conditions where

- The mixed layer/ocean interior transition is least clear
- Classical "frontogenesis" is not appropriate
- assumptions underlying SQG are badly violated
- a new range of instability processes need to be included (SI, GI).

 \rightarrow We need controlled parameter sweeps for the weakly stratified frontal regime typical of high latitudes

Downscale energy transfers associated with SMS frontal dynamics are very likely not substantial even in very energetic conditions.